

305 Oneal St.

Mullins, S.C. 29574

Grades 6-8 Middle School

Enrollment 409 Students

PrincipalMr. Coleman Barbour843-464-3730SuperintendentDr. Nathaniel Miller843-464-3700

Board Chair Mrs. Elizabeth Hammond 843-464-3700

2009 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YI	EAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2	009	Below Average	Below Average
2	800	At-Risk	At-Risk
2	007	At-Risk	At-Risk
2	006	At-Risk	At-Risk
2	005	Below Average	At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2008-09 whose 2007-08 test scores were located

96.5%

	ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*									
Excellent Good		Average	Below Average	At-Risk						
	0	0	6	30	23					

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010.



^{*} Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms							
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.						
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.						
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.						

End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	91.5%	87.8%
English 1	N/A	84.2%
Physical Science	N/A	33.8%
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	91.5%	85.9%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=409)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	17.5%	Up from 13.3%	15.6%	21.6%
Retention rate	0.8%	Down from 1.3%	2.3%	1.2%
Attendance rate	94.3%	Up from 94.2%	95.5%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	12.0%	Down from 12.1%	5.6%	14.8%
With disabilities other than speech	13.4%	Down from 17.2%	14.1%	12.6%
Older than usual for grade	1.7%	Down from 5.2%	5.0%	2.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.3%	0.6%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=34)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.1%	Down from 50.0%	54.3%	56.9%
Continuing contract teachers	52.9%	Down from 60.0%	62.2%	72.7%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	22.2%	Down from 25.9%	14.4%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	68.9%	Down from 78.9%	76.3%	82.9%
Teacher attendance rate	93.0%	Down from 94.9%	94.8%	95.2%
Average teacher salary*	\$41,515	Up 4.0%	\$44,507	\$46,599
Professional development days/teacher	6.0 days	Down from 12.6 days	10.3 days	10.8 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	19.0 to 1	Down from 23.1 to 1	16.8 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.5%	Down from 87.4%	89.1%	89.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Down from Excellent	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	95.6%	Down from 100.0%	95.7%	97.8%
Character development program	Good	Down from Excellent	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$7,167	Up 16.6%	\$9,939	\$7,645
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	68.6%	Up from 67.3%	60.3%	63.4%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	56.8%	Down from 59.4%	53.3%	57.0%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

The 2008-2009 School Year was a great year for Palmetto Middle School. We started the year by providing students with single-gender classes in the core subjects. Three reasons we tried single-gender were that our research showed that academics would increase; discipline problems would decrease; and students would be more engaged in teaching and learning when scheduled in single-gender classes. Since this was the baseline year, we will be collecting data to compare three years not in single-gender to three years participating in single-gender as well as improvements one to the next comparing single-gender only. Then we will know how it has worked for our school. Snasshot data provided a strong indication it is progressing positively in all three areas.

We encouraged all students to work toward earning 85 or better in all subjects. We believe in order to prepare for state standardized testing and to be promoted, students needed to be knowledgeable and skillful on tests with their learning. Based on this effort, we saw a ten percent increase in the number of students on the Honor Rolls and Principal's Lists. There were marginal gains on the Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) tests and we expected gains on the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) given in the spring.

Palmetto Middle School students were extremely competitive this year. There were eight students who became junior scholars. These were students who scored 50 or more on the SAT as eighth graders. We had a student to receive the Lt. Governor's Writing Award. We had a student to attend the South Carolina Governor's School of the Arts. We won the Science and Technology competition at South Carolina State University against other middle school students. We were very competitive in the 2008 National Engineer's Future City Competition. We encourage and appreciate the competitiveness of our students.

We are grateful for the support of our School Improvement Council and the community in all of our school academic and social efforts this year. We look forward to next year.

Norma Casarez SIC Chair

Coleman D. Barbour Principal

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents									
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*						
Number of surveys returned	35	115	77						
Percent satisfied with learning environment	60.0%	67.5%	67.1%						
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	71.4%	71.3%	56.0%						
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	37.5%	80.9%	71.1%						

^{*} Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

This school met 18 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

NO

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	1.7%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	5.6%	5.8%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	10.2%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	94.3%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

									102003
/ Group									
Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
lage Art	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	re = 58.8	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
392	99.2	44.7	40.7	14.6	66.3	70.8	82.8	Yes	Yes
193	99	54.4	32.4	13.2	57.7	65	79.3	N/A	N/A
199	99.5	35.4	48.7	15.9	74.6	76.6	86.5	N/A	N/A
97	100	24.2	48.4	27.5	80.2	77.6	89.5	Yes	Yes
282	98.9	52.2	38.1	9.7	61.6	67.9	73.7	Yes	Yes
4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	92.3	I/S	I/S
8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	68.8	76.5	I/S	I/S
1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	82.5	I/S	I/S
51	100	82	14	4	30	46.3	52	No	Yes
N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.1	N/A	N/A
1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	75.1	I/S	I/S
320	99.1	48.2	39.6	12.2	64.7	69.6	75.5	Yes	Yes
atics - S	tate Per	forman	ce Obie	ctive = 5	7 8% (1	Met or F	xempla	rv)	
					,		_	· ′ ′	Yes
002	00.1	00.0	10.0	0.0	01.0	01.1	10.0	100	100
193	99.5	55.5	36.3	8.2	56	59 1	77	N/A	N/A
									N/A
100	100	10.0	10.0	0.1	0111	011.2	00.0	1471	14/1
97	100	35.2	51.6	13.2	84 6	75 1	87.2	Yes	Yes
			36.1			56.3			Yes
4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	93	I/S	I/S
8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	68.8	76	I/S	I/S
1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	79.5	I/S	I/S
51	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	20	32.1	45.5	No	Yes
N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	75.7	N/A	N/A
1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	76.1	I/S	I/S
320	99.7	53.6	40.5	5.9	58.6	58.8	70.2	Yes	Yes
	193 199 193 199 97 282 4 8 1 51 N/A 1 320 193 199 97 282 4 8 1 51 N/A 1 1 51 N/A	Page Arts - State 392 99.2	193 99 54.4 193 99 54.4 199 99.5 35.4 97 100 24.2 282 98.9 52.2 4 51 100 82 N/A N/AV N/A 1 100 35.2 282 99.7 56.9 4 51 100 N/AV N/A N/AV N/A 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	193 99.2 44.7 40.7	### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	193 99 54.4 32.4 13.2 57.7 199 99.5 35.4 48.7 15.9 74.6	193 99 54.4 32.4 13.2 57.7 65 199 99.5 35.4 48.7 15.9 74.6 67.9 1		The Design

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Palmetto Middle 06/01/10-3402009									
PASS Performance By	Group								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	
			Scien	ce					
All Students	267	98.5	51.4	43.8	4.8	48.6	47.8	67.5	
Gender									
Male	123	99.2	52.6	43.1	4.3	47.4	48.6	67	
Female	144	97.9	50.4	44.4	5.3	49.6	47.1	68	
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	60	100	27.3	63.6	9.1	72.7	63.3	79.5	
Africian American	201	98	59.3	37	3.7	40.7	41.4	50.3	
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	84.3	
Hispanic	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	60.7	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	71.2	
Disability Status	1						1		
Disabled	34	100	75.8	18.2	6.1	24.2	30.4	35.6	
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46.1	
English Proficiency	,								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/AV	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.6	
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsized meals	219	98.2	54.1	41.5	4.4	45.9	45.1	55.1	
			Social St	tudies					
All Students	264	98.5	52	36.2	11.8	48	53.6	72.3	
Gender									
Male	136	99.3	51.2	36.4	12.4	48.8	52.5	71.5	
Female	128	97.7	53	35.9	11.1	47	54.9	73.2	
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	68	98.5	33.9	45.2	21	66.1	60.5	80.7	
Africian American	185	98.4	58	35.1	6.9	42	51.1	60	
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	88.5	
Hispanic	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	50	68	
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	72.2	
Disability Status									
Disabled	35	97.1	75.8	21.2	3	24.2	30.4	43.5	
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50.7	
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	67.9	
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsized meals	215	98.6	56.2	34.8	9	43.8	50.8	62.1	

Palmetto Middle 06/01/10-3402009										
PASS Performance By	/ Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing	J					
All Students	393	98.7	44.2	37.5	18.2	55.8	53.4	70.2	94.3	94.9
Gender										
Male	193	97.4	51.4	33.1	15.5	48.6	48.1	63.2	93.4	94.6
Female	200	100	37.5	41.7	20.8	62.5	58.5	77.5	95.1	95.2
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	98	98	27.2	46.7	26.1	72.8	62.4	79.1	92.7	93.8
Africian American	283	98.9	50.9	34.6	14.5	49.1	49.3	57.6	94.7	95.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	86.2	99.8	99.1
Hispanic	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	75	62.6	94.9	95.1
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	68.7	89.4	92.5
Disability Status										
Disabled	52	98.1	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	14	14.8	26.1	90.8	93.5
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	61.2	97.9	97.2
Socio-Economic Status										

Subsized meals

316 98.7 47.3 37.3 15.3 52.7 50.2 58.9 94.1 94.8

PASS Performance By Grade Level							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
English/Language Arts							
	3	N/A	l N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	5 6	120	98.3	33.9	47.5	18.6	66.1
	7	141	100	52.3	34.6	13.1	47.7
	8	131	99.2	47.2	40.7	12.2	52.8
Mathematics							
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	6	120	100	45.4	49.6	5	54.6
	7	141	100	50.8	40.8	8.5	49.2
	8	131	99.2	56.1	32.5	11.4	43.9
Science							
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	6	61	95.1	46.6	51.7	1.7	53.4
	7	141	100	50	43.1	6.9	50
	8	65	98.5	59	37.7	3.3	41
Social Studies							
	3 4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7	6	59	93.2	27.3	58.2	14.5	72.7
	7	140	100	67.4	25.6	7	32.6
	8	65	100	41.9	38.7	19.4	58.1
Writing							
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2		122	98.4	40.8	40	19.2	59.2
	7	140	99.3	46.5	36.4	17.1	53.5
	8	131	98.5	45.2	36.3	18.5	54.8